BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 VIKING DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, 4 PCHB No. 128 Appellant, 5 ORDER ON MOTION, ٧Ş. FINDINGS OF FACT, 6 SPOKANE COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER CONTROL AUTHORITY, Respondent. 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

This is the appeal of a \$25.00 civil penalty for an alleged open burning violation of respondent's Regulation I, Section 6.01. It came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, hearing officer) in respondent's conference room in Spokane at 4:00 p.m., September 21, 1972.

Appellant appeared through its attorney, Paul R. Derr. Respondent was represented by its Director, Fred A. Shiosaki. David Storey, court reporter, recorded the proceeding.

Mr. Derr moved for dismissal on the grounds that the inspector who

1 | issued respondent's original Field Notice of Violation to appellant was not present to testify. The hearing officer, noting that respondent was not represented by counsel, instructed respondent to obtain the Litness' testirony by deposition and forward it to the Board's office in Olympia. A ruling was deferred on the motion until respondent had had a reasonable time to furnish the deposition. The hearing officer, noting that a hearing officer is in Spokane on an infrequent basis as a service to local appellants, ordered the formal hearing to continue for the taking of testimony of those witnesses present.

ï

5

Witnesses were sworn and testified. An exhibit was offered and iadmitted.

Subsequently on November 6, 1972, in the offices of the Spokane County Air Pollution Control Authority, in Spokane, the deposition of Daniel Shuee, inspector for respondent, was taken in the presence of Mr. Derr and Mr. Shiosaki. The deposition was included by the Board in its file on this matter.

On the basis of testimony heard, exhibits examined and the deposition of Daniel Shuee, the Pollution Control Hearings Board prepared an Order or lotton, Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order Which were submitted to the appellant and respondent on November 13, 1972. No objections or exceptions to the Order on Motion, Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order having been received, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes and enters the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

On April 27, 1972, at the site of a recently built residence at

8

10

11

12

17

 20°

20

2:

25

26

OPDER ON MOTION, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

Liberty Lake, Spokane County, appellant burned a pile of scrap lumber 1 about seven feet in diameter. An inspector of respondent, noting smoke 2 from this fire, requested appellant to extinguish the fire, which was 3 done, and issued appellant a Field Notice of Violation of respondent's 4 Subsequently, a formal Notice of Violation, invoking a Regulation I. 5 \$25.00 civil penalty, was sent to appellant by registered mail. 6 7 II. April 27, 1972 was within a period of permissive outdoor household 8 vegetation burning authorized by respondent. 9 III. 10 The outdoor burning of dirensional lumber and other construction 11 waste, even during a period of permissive outdoor household vegetation 2 burning, is prohibited by Section 6.01 of respondent's Regulation I. 13 From these Findings of Fact the Pollution Control Hearings 14 15 Board comes to these CONCLUSIONS 16 ī. 17 Appellant was in violation of Section 6.01 of respondent's 1S Regulation I on April 27, 1972 at Liberty Lake, Spokane County. II. 20 The \$25.00 civil penalty invoked in this matter, being one tenth 21 of the allowable maximum penalty, is reasonable. 22 III. 23 The testimony of the inspector who issued the Field Notice of 51 Violation having been furnished by deposition, the Motion to Dismiss 25 26 is denied.

÷

ORDER ON MOTION, FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

27

IV. However, appellant has been put to unnecessary expense in participating in the inspector's deposition. Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes this ORDER The appeal is denied, but the civil penalty of \$25.00 is suspended pending no further violation of respondent's Regulation I by appellant. DONE at Olympia, Washington this 27th day of Dicembel POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD MATTHEW W. HILL, Member -0

ORDER ON MOTION, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER - 4